

INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Huntington
FILE NUMBER: 199901079-1

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Lee A. Pittman **Date:** April 1, 2004

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) **Date:** April 1, 2004
 At the project site Y (Y/N) **Date:** December 21, 1999

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Ohio
 County: Franklin
 Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 39° 50' 7.2600/82° 57' 24.0840
 Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 127 acres
 Name of waterway or watershed: Ohio Canal

SITE CONDITIONS:

| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown |
|---|---------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Lake | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) <u>Barrow Area/Man-made Pond</u> | 0.28 ac | | | | | | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | If Known | | If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment | | |
|---|----------|----|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Yes | No | Predicted to Occur | Not Expected to Occur | Not Able To Make Determination |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties? | X | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? | | | X | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | X | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | X | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved X.

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs):

The 0.28 acre pond is surrounded by upland and does not present a significant nexus to a water of the United States. Based on the absence of a hydrological connection or adjacency to a water of the United States, the 0.28 acre pond is determined to be an isolated water of the United States.